

**Summary of Responses to Statement of Licensing Principles**

Consultee	Observations	Comment / Action Taken	Amendments to Licensing Policy
Sgt Simon Mullan on behalf of Hertfordshire Constabulary	Section A7.6.2 clarification needed on whether the number of NEETs refers to North Hertfordshire or the whole County	Agreed	Clarification that it is North Hertfordshire added
	Section E1.2.1 clarification needed on the Drugs Action Team	Agreed	Amended from Drugs Action Team to County Community Safety Unit
	Section G1.1 change 'intended' to 'intended or likely'	Agreed	Policy amended
	Section G6.2.2 wording amended for clarity	Agreed	Policy amended
	Section G6.3.5 wording amended to reflect consultation with police rather than determining police duties	Agreed	Policy amended
	Section G7.1.1 clarification that public health matters are applicable if impacting on public safety	Agreed	Policy amended
	Section G7.1.1 clarification about duplication of other legislation in conditions	Already covered in section F4.1	None
	Section H3.1 should also preclude reliance on SAG as a voluntary licence condition	Agreed	Policy amended
	Section O4.3.2 not supported	Disagree, too many applicants are put under pressure when responsible authorities do not contact them until late in the consultation period	Wording amended to include 'where operationally practicable' but principle remains
	Would the council support a “ask for what you want, not more for haggling purposes” policy on an applicants submission on an operating schedule and therefore consistent with the applicants business plans. Most often it is the speculative conditions (broader hours, larger capacities etc) which require us to engage and negotiate with applicants, only because they are often of the opinion that have asked for more with the intention of eventually getting what they want.	Whilst not supporting the precise wording, as every applicant is entitled to apply for whatever they chose, clarification could be added that asking for more as a bargaining tool could be counter-productive and is unnecessary as each application is determined on its own merits	New section D2.11 added
Would the council support the promotion of other technological tools when considering applications for premises which are likely to factor strongly in the NTE, and therefore have a higher likelihood to attract crime and disorder, and therefore novel measures to promote the C&D objective? Such as but not limited to ID entry scanners.	Current policy does not preclude this approach	None	
John Morris	Spelling and grammatical errors identified (consultation response not published)	Agreed	Policy amended